UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,312	10/30/2003	Brian R. Reynolds	1001.1737101	3933
28075 7590 09/27/2007 CROMPTON, SEAGER & TUFTE, LLC 1221 NICOLLET AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			PRONE, CHRISTOPHER D	
SUITE 800 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403-2420			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3738	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	09/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

,						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/699,312	REYNOLDS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christopher D. Prone	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timularly and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 August 2007</u> .						
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
,	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-11 and 33-38 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 33-38</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) [_] Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	'atent Application				

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-11, 33, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by USPN 6,245,095 B1 Dobak III et al.

In regards to claims 1-5 Dobak discloses the same invention being a medical device comprising an elongated core member 42, wherein at least a portion of the core member has a solid cross section perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the device, a polymer paralyene jacket (20) free of a coil having a textured surface comprising a helical groove with rounded edges positioned proximal of the distal tip (shown in figure 1) and a heparin coating disposed over the polymer jacket (10:49-64).

In regards to claims 6-11, 33, and 34 Dobak further discloses use of a solid non-hollow elongated guidewire member that the catheter may be delivered on. (See column 4 exemplary procedure step 11.) During the delivery process the catheter will be delivered to the tip of the guidewire thereby containing the distal end of the elongated guide member.

Art Unit: 3738

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 35-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Dobak in view of USPN 7,070,605 B2 Katsumoto et al.

Dobak discloses the invention substantially as claimed being described above. However, Dobak does not disclose that the guidewire has a tapered distal end.

Katsumoto teaches the use of a guidewire having tapered tips in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of preventing the guidewire from puncturing through the vein.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the tapered shape of the guidewire tip as taught by Katsumoto with the guidewire and catheter of Dobak in order to provide a needle that will remain in the vein and not puncture through the walls.

Art Unit: 3738

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 2/28/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that the amended claims that recite "the core member includes a solid cross-sectional portion having a solid cross-section perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the device" overcomes the Dobak rejection. However the new claim language is still extremely broad. This phrase still requires only a portion of the core member is solid. It is inherent that every cylindrical tube has a solid portion perpendicular to its longitudinal axis.

Otherwise the tube would not be a cylinder. The walls of the core are made of a solid material therefore Dobak clearly discloses an elongated member that has a portion with a solid cross-section. Whether the cross-section is taken along the length of the elongated member at its outer edge, on an angle at its end, or just through a single side of the elongated member there is inherently a solid cross-sectional portion.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 6-11 and 34-38 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3738

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher D. Prone whose telephone number is (571) 272-6085. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Through Fri 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3738

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Christopher D Prone Examiner Art Unit 3738

Q/ CDP

> CORRINE MCDERMOTT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700